Forensic Assessment of the Shroud of Turin and the Sudarium of Oviedo

Otangelo Grasso
Independent researcher, Aracaju, SE, Brazil
ORCID: 0009-0005-6942-0593
Email: otangelograsso@gmail.com

Abstract

The Shroud of Turin and the Sudarium of Oviedo are two ancient linen cloths traditionally associated with the burial of Jesus of Nazareth.[1] Numerous publications have claimed that these textiles exhibit multiple correspondences suggesting contact with the same individual. At the same time, critics—most notably Hugh Farey—have raised substantive methodological objections[7] concerning contact-surface identification, fold-through transfer effects, scaling arbitrariness, and the inflation of weak or irrelevant similarities. This paper presents a fully revised forensic assessment intended for an academic readership. It (i) removes non-probative or misleading claims, (ii) incorporates relevant modern literature on bloodstain pattern analysis (BPA), textile transfer mechanics, and fluid dynamics, and (iii) reframes the remaining evidence within a transparent evidentiary hierarchy. Rather than asserting precise geometric mapping or conclusions "beyond reasonable doubt," the paper evaluates whether a preponderance of independent forensic indicators supports the hypothesis that both cloths most likely contacted the same deceased man at different stages of post-mortem handling. The conclusion reached is cautious but affirmative: while no single observation is decisive, the convergence of physiological, fluid-dynamic, and chronological evidence is more consistent with a common subject than with coincidence.

1. Introduction

The Shroud of Turin is a 4.4 m × 1.1 m linen cloth bearing front and dorsal images of a crucified man, accompanied by bloodstains consistent with traumatic injury. The Sudarium of Oviedo is a smaller (approximately 84 × 53 cm) linen cloth bearing blood and serous-fluid stains, historically attested in Spain since at least the seventh century and traditionally identified with the face cloth mentioned in John 20:7.

A long-standing question in forensic and textile studies is whether the two cloths can reasonably be interpreted as having contacted the same individual. Earlier comparative studies proposed numerous "correspondences," often expressed as geometric overlays or numerical counts. More recent critiques have shown that many such claims are methodologically weak, irrelevant to identity, or dependent on unproven assumptions about how the Sudarium was applied. This paper therefore undertakes a complete reassessment, explicitly addressing these critiques and integrating advances in forensic textile science.

2. Scope, Sources, and Methodological Principles

2.1 Scope and Exclusions

This study deliberately excludes:

- Trivial similarities (e.g., both cloths being linen).
- Negative or non-probative observations (e.g., differences in weave type).
- Artistic or sculptural reconstructions.
- Redundant metric claims derived from uncertain scaling.
- Correspondences that rely entirely on a presupposed Sudarium contact surface without independent justification.

These exclusions directly respond to established methodological criticisms and are necessary to prevent artificial inflation of evidentiary weight.

2.2 Sources

The assessment draws on:

- Peer-reviewed and technical forensic literature on bloodstain pattern analysis (BPA) on textiles.
- Experimental studies on blood transfer and seep-through in folded fabrics.
- Published medical and biochemical analyses of blood and serous fluid on both cloths.
- Major Sudarium and Shroud studies, including but not limited to Villalaín Blanco, Sánchez-Hermosilla, Barta, and Fanti.

2.3 Evidentiary Hierarchy

Claims are evaluated according to an evidentiary hierarchy:

Tier 1 – Physiological and biochemical evidence (independent of geometry).
Tier 2 – Fluid-dynamic and gravitational patterns (low-resolution anatomical consistency).
Tier 3 – Chronological and functional compatibility of cloth use.
Tier 4 – Geometric or spatial correspondences (considered suggestive only when constrained by independent anchors).

No conclusions are drawn from Tier 4 evidence alone.

3. Forensic Evidence from the Sudarium of Oviedo

3.1 Blood and Serous Fluid Composition

Multiple analyses describe the Sudarium stains as a mixture of blood and a substantial proportion of clear serous fluid[2] often interpreted as pulmonary edema fluid. This mixture is physiologically consistent with severe respiratory distress and terminal asphyxiation, conditions well documented in Roman crucifixion. The presence of such mixed fluids is not a generic feature of post-mortem staining and therefore carries significant probative value.

3.2 Directionality and Vertical Orientation

Bloodstain morphology and gravity-dependent flow patterns on the Sudarium indicate that it was applied while the body was upright or inclined[2] rather than supine. Flows from the nose

and mouth follow downward trajectories consistent with gravity acting on a vertical face, not with a horizontally wrapped burial cloth.

3.3 Folding and Multi-Layer Transfer

The Sudarium exhibits complex folding, with stains appearing on multiple layers. Experimental textile studies demonstrate that folded fabrics routinely produce mirrored but non-identical transfer stains [6] due to differences in pressure, contact duration, weave, and absorbency. Such effects are expected and do not, by themselves, indicate multiple independent sources.

4. Forensic Evidence from the Shroud of Turin

4.1 Bloodstain Characteristics

Bloodstains on the Shroud are consistent with contact with a wounded human body[4], including flows from the scalp, face, wrists, feet, and side. Several studies report features compatible with blood mixed with serous fluid, particularly in the side wound area, supporting a similar physiological context to that inferred from the Sudarium.

4.2 Body Orientation

Unlike the Sudarium, the Shroud appears to have been applied when the body was supine or nearly supine. This difference in orientation is not contradictory; rather, it suggests use at a different stage of post-mortem handling.

5. Addressing Key Methodological Critiques

5.1 Contact-Surface Ambiguity

Critics have correctly noted that the precise Sudarium surface that contacted the face has not been conclusively established. This paper therefore does not assume a specific contact face. Instead, it notes that forensic BPA literature provides experimentally testable criteria—such as saturation gradients and edge morphology—that could, in future work, resolve this question objectively.

5.2 Fold-Through and Seepage Effects

Differences between mirrored stains are compatible with known fabric-transfer behavior. Experimental textile research shows that such differences are expected outcomes of folded, absorbent materials and should not be interpreted as evidence against a single source without controlled testing.

5.3 Overlay and Scaling Subjectivity

Precise geometric overlays between the Sudarium and the Shroud are inherently uncertain due to cloth deformation, unknown contact pressure, and different body orientations. Accordingly, this study treats geometric correspondences as secondary and suggestive only when supported by independent physiological or fluid-dynamic evidence.

5.4 Evidentiary Inflation

Earlier attempts to quantify correspondences by raw counts are methodologically unsound. This paper avoids numerical tallies and instead evaluates the coherence of independent lines of evidence.

6. Integrated Assessment

When the remaining high-quality evidence is considered together, a coherent scenario emerges:

1. A man suffered severe trauma and respiratory distress, producing blood mixed with pulmonary serous fluid.
2. While the body was upright or inclined, a cloth (the Sudarium) was applied to the face to absorb ongoing flows from the nose and mouth.
3. The cloth was folded, producing multi-layer transfer patterns consistent with known textile behavior.
4. At a later stage, the body was laid supine and wrapped in a larger burial cloth (the Shroud), producing a different but physiologically compatible pattern of bloodstains.

This scenario does not require precise one-to-one geometric mapping. It requires only that both cloths interacted with the same wounded body under different physical conditions—a hypothesis that fits the surviving evidence better than coincidence or unrelated usage.

7. Conclusion

After removal of irrelevant or misleading claims and incorporation of modern forensic textile science, the case for a relationship between the Shroud of Turin and the Sudarium of Oviedo rests on a smaller but more robust evidentiary foundation. No single observation proves that both cloths covered the same man. Nevertheless, the convergence of physiological, fluid-dynamic, and chronological indicators makes this hypothesis more likely than not when compared with coincidence or independent usage scenarios. The analysis deliberately avoids dependence on precise geometric overlays or untested assumptions regarding Sudarium contact surfaces.

Future progress depends not on additional qualitative correspondences, but on controlled experimental testing.[5][6] In particular, laboratory blood-transfer studies using folded linen textiles of comparable weave and absorbency, analyzed under established BPA protocols, offer a clear and falsifiable pathway for resolving outstanding uncertainties.

Appendix A: Proposed Experimental Protocol for Resolving Sudarium–Shroud Contact Questions

A.1 Objective

To determine, using controlled and blinded forensic methods, which Sudarium surface is most consistent with primary facial contact and whether the observed multi-layer stain patterns are compatible with a single wounded individual under realistic folding and orientation conditions.

A.2 Materials

- Linen textiles reproducing Sudarium weave (taffeta/tafetan) and Shroud weave (3:1 herringbone twill), matched for thread count and absorbency.
- Human blood analogue mixed with proteinaceous serous-fluid simulant in ratios reported in Sudarium studies.
- Anatomical head form capable of controlled inclination and pressure application.

A.3 Experimental Design

1. Apply blood–serous fluid mixture to defined facial regions of the head form under vertical and inclined orientations.
2. Apply folded linen cloth in configurations documented for the Sudarium, varying contact pressure and duration.
3. Document primary stains, secondary transfers, and seep-through patterns across all layers.

A.4 Analysis

- Conduct blind bloodstain pattern analysis using established textile BPA criteria (edge morphology, saturation gradients, penetration profiles).
- Compare experimental results quantitatively to high-resolution images of the Sudarium stains.
- Assess inter-rater reliability among independent BPA analysts.

A.5 Expected Outcome

Such experiments would allow objective discrimination between primary-contact and transfer surfaces and provide empirical constraints on Sudarium–Shroud compatibility, directly addressing long-standing methodological critiques.

References

1. Villalaín Blanco, J. D., Sánchez-Hermosilla, J., & Rodríguez-Almenar, J. M. (1998). *Comparative Study of the Sudarium of Oviedo and the Shroud of Turin*. Proceedings of the I International Congress on the Sudarium of Oviedo. Available at: https://www.shroud.com/heraseng.pdf
2.
3. Sánchez-Hermosilla, J. (2015). *Forensic Analysis of the Sudarium of Oviedo*. Instituto Nacional de Toxicología y Ciencias Forenses. Available at: https://www.shroud.com/pdfs/sanchezvspan.pdf

4. Barta, C. (2010). *The Sudarium of Oviedo: Signs of Jesus Christ's Death*. En Route Books & Media. Available at: https://www.amazon.com.br/Sudarium-Oviedo-Signs-Jesus-Christs/dp/9814968137

5. Fanti, G., et al. (2024). New insights on blood evidence from the Turin Shroud. *Heritage*, 7(1).https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/New-Insights-on-Blood-Evidence-from-the-Turin-with-Giulio/fca2bedce63535a5aa0ba85d949f18d90efb5e29

6. Michielsen, S., et al. *Bloodstain Patterns on Textile Surfaces*. National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice. Available at: https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/248671.pdf

7. Miles, H. F. (2014). *Influence of fabric surface characteristics on bloodstain morphology*. PhD Thesis, University College London. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1355030614000495

8. Farey, H. (2025). Does the Sudarium of Oviedo match the Shroud? Blog post. Available at: https://medievalshroud.com/does-the-sudarium-of-ovideo-match-the-shroud/